Isotropization at finite coupling

Tomás Andrade (Oxford)

July 1, 2016, Santiago de Compostela

1607.XXXXX TA, Jorge Casalderrey-Solana, Andrej Ficnar

Intro: QGP and holography

- AdS/CFT is a great arena to study strongly coupled CFT
- Study time-dependent evolution of QGP by solving classical gravity in AdS
- Remarkable tool: characteristic formulation of GR [Chesler, Yaffe]. Consider null foliation of space-time, eoms acquire nested form. Full time-evolution determined by solving – nested – linear ODE's.
- Einstein gravity corresponds to infinite coupling. Capture finite coupling corrections by considering higher curvature terms. Expect Gauss-Bonnet gravity to be a good toy model of these corrections
- Today: effect of finite coupling (Gauss-Bonnet) on isotropization.

Outline

Isotropization in characteristic formulation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

- Corrections from Gauss-Bonnet
- Main lessons
- Summary and outlook

Holographic Isotropization

Consider spatially homogeneous but unisotropic plasma in the initial state. This can be described in the dual gravity theory by

$$ds^{2} = -2A(r,t)dt^{2} + 2dtdr + \Sigma(r,t)^{2}(e^{B(r,t)}dx_{\perp}^{2} + e^{-2B(r,t)}dx_{\parallel}^{2})$$

Choose asymptotically AdS bc's and prescribe B(r, t = 0).

 $B \rightarrow 0$ at $t \rightarrow \infty,$ when this happens the system has become isotropic.

Ward identities imply that the energy density is constant. The interesting observable is Δp

$$\Delta p = T^{zz} - \frac{1}{2}(T^{xx} + T^{yy}) \propto \partial_u(u^{-3}B)\big|_{u=0}, \qquad u = 1/r$$

No hydro modes excited (no spatial dependence).

Isotropization in characteristic formulation

Characteristic formulation: metric written in null foliation. Adapted to this, write the time derivatives in terms of

$$d_+ = \partial_t + A \partial_r$$

This allows us to write the eoms in a nested pattern

$$0 = \Sigma'' + \frac{1}{2}\Sigma (B')^{2},$$

$$0 = (d_{+}\Sigma)' + 2(d_{+}\Sigma)\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma} - 2\Sigma,$$

$$0 = (d_{+}B)'\Sigma + \frac{3}{2}(d_{+}B)\Sigma' + \frac{3}{2}(d_{+}\Sigma)B',$$

$$0 = A'' - 6(d_{+}\Sigma)\frac{\Sigma'}{\Sigma^{2}} + \frac{3}{2}(d_{+}B)B' + 2,$$

Provided B(r, t = 0) and asymptotic bc's, solution is determined uniquely (solve linear ODE's and integrate in time at each step)

Isotropization in characteristic formulation 2: results

Consider Gaussian profile $B(u, t = 0) = \beta u^4 \exp(-(u - u_0)^2/w^2)$



▶ System isotropizes quickly $b(t_{is0}) \ll 1$ for $t_{iso} \sim 1/T$

 For generic IC, evolution well described by QNM [Heller, Mateos, van der Schee, Triana]

・ロト ・ 雪 ト ・ ヨ ト

э

Isotropization in characteristic formulation 3: QNM match Expand initial condition in QNM

$$b(u,0) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{QNM}} C_i \phi_i(u), \quad ext{lin. thy. predicts} \quad b(u,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{QNM}} C_i \phi_i(u) e^{-i\omega_i t}$$

Do regression to determine the C_i 's and compare. For $N_{QNM} = 10$



[Heller, Mateos, van der Schee, Triana] found that this holds true quite generically (avoid caustics, better for some IC than others).

Finite coupling corrections

In AdS/CFT, α' corrections to SUGRA map to finite 't Hooft coupling. In IIB, first correction is $\sim \gamma R^4$, and can be treated perturbatively

$$\frac{\eta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 + 135\gamma)$$

Toy model: consider $\sim \lambda_{GB}R^2$ correction which preserves second order eoms, namely Gauss-Bonnet gravity. Similar behaviour of the viscosity for $\lambda_{GB} < 0$

$$\left. \frac{\eta}{s} \right|_{GB} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (1 - 4\lambda_{GB})$$

Also QNM_{GB} behave similarly to QNM_{R^4} for $\lambda_{GB} < 0$ [Grozdanov, Kaplis, Starinets]

For computational simplicity, we consider Gauss-Bonnet here

Gauss-Bonnet gravity 2

$$S_{GB} = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left(R + 12 + \frac{\lambda_{GB}}{2} \left(R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \right) \right)$$

Leads to second order eoms

$$E_0 + \lambda_{GB} E_{GB} = 0$$

Known stationary BH solution

$$ds^2 = rac{dr^2}{f(r)} - f(r)dt^2 + r^2 dec{x}^2, \quad f = rac{r^2}{2\lambda_{GB}} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\lambda_{GB}(1 - r_H^4/r^4)}\right)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Gravitational QNM have been computed [GKS]
- Holographic renormalization is known. Can compute T^{μν}.
 [Brihaye, Radu]

Gauss-Bonnet gravity 2, perturbation theory

Want to study isotropization in this modified theory. Using the same d_+ , not obvious that the nested structure is preserved.

Treat the problem perturbatively

$$g = g_0 + \lambda_{GB} \delta g, \quad \Rightarrow \qquad E_{0,\text{lin}}[\delta g] = -E_{GB}[g_0]$$

Eoms are by construction linear (and nested!)

Solve for the linear perturbation using:

- Initial condition: keep anisotropy fixed
- Boundary condition: keep energy fixed

Given a background, find unique linearized solution.

Isotropization for Gauss-Bonnet, results

Book-keeping
$$b = b_0 + \lambda_{GB} \delta b$$
,
 $\Delta p = \Delta p_0 + \lambda_{GB} \delta(\Delta p), \qquad \delta(\Delta p) = -3\partial_u \left(\delta b + \frac{1}{2}b_0\right)|_{u=0}$



Note: shift of Δp given by the sign λ_{GB} . Observe qualitatively similar behaviour for all IC's. True in general?

Isotropization for Gauss-Bonnet, match QNM

Good agreement with QNM, as in the $\lambda_{GB} = 0$ case.



We can use this simplification to provide an argument for the observed shift.

Shift in Δp using QNM

Want to argue that Δp is "approximately shifted" from Δp_0 , for all initial conditions.



More precisely, $\Delta p'(t)$ and $\delta(\Delta p)(t)$ have the same sign for $t > \tilde{t}$ with \tilde{t} small.

Shift in Δp using QNM, cont'd

From the QNM expansion,

$$b(u,t) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{i} C_{i} \phi_{i}(u) e^{-i\omega_{i}t}$$

Expand

$$\phi_i = \phi_i^{(0)} + \lambda_{GB} \,\delta\phi_i, \quad C_i = C_i^{(0)} + \lambda_{GB} \,\delta C_i, \quad \omega_i = \omega_i^{(0)} + \lambda_{GB} \,\delta\omega_i$$

and obtain

$$\delta b(u,t) = \sum_{i} e^{-i\omega_i^{(0)}t} (\delta C_i \phi_i^{(0)}(u) + C_i \delta \phi_i^{(0)}(u) - it \delta \omega_i C_i^{(0)} \phi_i^{(0)}(u))$$

$$\delta(\Delta p)(t) \sim \partial_u \delta b(0,t)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\delta b(u, 0) = 0$ relates δC_i with C_i via regression.

Shift in Δp using QNM, cont'd

Key point: relation between δC_i with C_i is given by a universal (IC-independent) matrix. This allows us to write

$$\delta(\Delta p) = \sum_{k=1}^{2N_{QNM}} C_k \mathcal{F}_k(t), \qquad C_k = \{\operatorname{Re} C_i, \operatorname{Im} C_i\}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_k(t)$ are universal. Also, trivially,

$$\Delta p_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{2N_{QNM}} \mathcal{C}_k \mathcal{F}_k^{(0)}(t)$$

For our purposes, it is sufficient that $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{(0)}(t)$ and $\partial_{t}\mathcal{F}_{k}(t)$, have the same sign. These are independent of the IC's, and we can easily check $\mathcal{F}_{k}^{(0)}(t)\partial_{t}\mathcal{F}_{k}(t) > 0$ using background quantities.

Shift in Δp using QNM, cont'd

 $\delta(\Delta p)'(t)$ same sign as Δp_0



"Q.E.D"

(日)、

э

Summary

- We have extended the analysis of far-from-equilibrium processes to higher derivative holography
- Time evolution in Gauss-Bonnet is in good agreement with QNM picture
- \blacktriangleright At least in the linear regime, we find that isotropization time grows with η/s
 - ► Shift in $\Delta p/p$, controlled by the sign of λ_{GB} . Provided a semi-analytic argument
- Contrast with bona-fide α' correction. Same structure, just a bit messier. Expect same behaviour for λ_{GB} < 0